CONTENTS

	Page
OPINIONS OF THE COURTS BELOW	1–2
PROCEEDINGS IN COURTS BELOW	2–4
JURISDICTION	4
PRINCIPAL ISSUE STATED	4–8
I. The court erred in its construction of the Trading with the Enemy Act, and especially the provisions of Sec. 12 thereof conferring upon the custodian power of sale of enemy property in his custody pending final disposition thereof by Congress; and in holding that the Act authorized the executive officers of the Government to confiscate enemy property when they deemed such confiscation in the public interest. (Assignments of error I, II, and IX; Rec. Vol. 7, pp. 5048–5050 and 5053, 5054)	4–5
II. The court erred in holding that the provisions of Sec. 41 of the Criminal Code of the United States, and the principles of law and equity forbidding sales by public officers or fiduciaries of property in their custody, to themselves or to corporations of which they are officers, had no application to this case. (Assignments of error III and IV, and part of VII; Rec. Vol. 7, pp. 5050, 5051, 5052, and 5053)	5–6
III. The court erred in holding that there was any lawful authority to make private sales of these properties to the appellee. (Assignments of error V and VI; Rec. Vol. 7, pp. 5051, 5052)	6–7
IV. The court erred in holding that the sales were valid since even if lawfully authorized they were irregular in many particulars and especially in that there was no effort to arrive at the value of the property, the price was nominal and arbitrarily fixed and was grossly inadequate, and that the procedure did not comply with the terms and intent of the Act, or of the alleged executive orders under which they were made. (Assignments of error VII and VIII; Rec. Vol. 7, pp. 5052, 5053)	7
V. The court erred in not holding that these transactions were made in pursuance of and in the accomplishment of an unlawful scheme or conspiracy. (Assignment of error X; Rec. Vol. 7, p. 5054)	7–8

Page

VI. The court erred in ordering the taxation of costs of the cause against the United States. (Assignment of error XVIII; Rec. Vol. 8, p. 5056) ---- 8

STATEMENT OF THE CASE ---- 8–44

MATTERS ELIMINATED ---- 44–48

(a) The seizure of the patents and other property in question ---- 44–45

(b) The alleged wrongs committed by the Germans against the United States and its industrial interests ---- 45–46

(c) The importance and necessities of the dye and chemical industries ---- 46–47

(d) The motives of the parties concerned ---- 48

ARGUMENT

POINT I.

The court erred in its construction of the Trading with the Enemy Act ---- 48–105

1. Pertinent provisions of the Trading with the Enemy Act ---- 51–53
2. Amendments to the Act ---- 53–55
3. Section 12 as amended ---- 56–74
4. The legislative history of the amendment to Sec. 12 ---- 74–83
5. In the administration of the Act the construction contended for on behalf of the Government has been uniformly adopted, with the sole exception of the transactions now under review ---- 83–90
6. All of the decisions which have been rendered under the Trading with the Enemy Act, or where that Act has been called in question, have held the Act to be not an Act for the confiscation of enemy property, but for the confiscation of it, subject to further disposition by Congress ---- 90–91
7. The construction given by the courts below would render the Act unconstitutional ---- 91–103

Conclusion on Point I ---- 104–105

POINT II.

The court erred in holding that the alleged private sales were authorized by the orders of Frank L. Polk of February 26, 1919, and April 5, 1919; that the legal defects therein, if any, were cured by ratification of the President; and that the sales were made in accordance with said orders ---- 105–138

1. The Executive order of December 3, 1918, seeking to vest in Frank L. Polk "all power and authority" to order private sales of property, which was vested in the President by Sec. 12 as amended, was unlawful and void ---- 105–113
2. The contention that Sec. 5 of the Act authorized this delegation of power ---- 113–125

POINT II—Continued.

Page

3. The alleged ratification of the Polk orders by the President 125–132

4. Even if the orders of Mr. Polk were lawful and valid, they did not authorize the sales or transfers of this property as made in this case 132–138

POINT III.

The sales and assignments to appellee were illegal and void, as being in violation of Sec. 41 of the Criminal Code of the United States and of those principles of law and equity which forbid a public officer or fiduciary making sale of trust property in his custody to himself or to a corporation of which he is the head 138–185

1. The transactions were void as being in violation of Sec. 41 of the Criminal Code 141–159

2. Contentions of appellee and positions of the courts below on this point 159–182

3. Said alleged sales and assignments were illegal and void, being in conflict with settled rules and principles of law and equity applicable to such transactions 182–185

POINT IV.

Assuming but not admitting that private sales were lawfully authorized, the sales as made and conducted by the Alien Property Custodian were illegal and void and conferred no title upon the purchaser 186–209

1. The transactions of sale and assignment by the Alien Property Custodian were in violation of the order of Frank L. Polk (alleged to have authorized a private sale) and therefore void 186

2. No effort was made to ascertain the value of the property 187–189

3. The patents, trade-marks, copyrights, and other similar property covered by these alleged sales or assignments, though taken from many separate owners and held by the Custodian in many separate trusts, were all sold in bulk and not in a manner which would constitute a fair sale 189–190

4. The sales were invalid because of the combination of substantially all of the prospective purchasers into one organization, which not only stifled competition, but absolutely prevented the possibility of any other purchasers 190–193

5. The sales were invalid because purposely made in such a manner as to destroy, in whole or in part, the value thereof 193–195

6. The sales were illegal and void on account of the relation of the parties conducting the sale to each other and to the subject matter 196

POINT IV—Continued. Page

7. The sales were illegal and void for the reason that the price was grossly inadequate 196-209

POINT V.

The transactions of alleged sales and assignments of the patents and other properties involved in this suit were illegal and void in that the same were induced, brought about, and accomplished in pursuance and as a result of an unlawful scheme or conspiracy 209-214

POINT VI.

The court erred in the taxing of the costs against the United States 214-217

Conclusion 217-220

Appendix 221-264

AUTHORITIES CITED

A

Aberdeen, etc., Co. v. *Blackie at McQueen* (H. of L.), 461 184

Alexander v. *Alexander*, 5 Cranch 1 113

American Exchange Nat. Bank v. *Garvan*, 273 Fed. 43, 47 170

Anderson v. *Crawford*, 265 Fed. 504, 506 110

Article 843, Reg. 62, U. S. Int. Rev. Act, 1921 201

Attorney General v. *Aspinwall*, 2 Milnor C. 613 123

B

Banco Mexicana, etc., v. *Miller et al.*, 289 Fed. 924 90

Bank v. *Garvan*, 273 Fed. 43 165

Beall v. *Dingman*, 227 Ill. 294 187

Benjamin on Sales, Sec. 1 ..... 66, 197

Biesantz v. *Royal Arcanum*, 106 N. Y. Misc. 545 91

Blount Mfg. Co. v. *Yale, etc., Co.*, 168 Fed. 555, 562 213

Board of Foreign Missions v. *McMaster*, Fed. Cases No. 1586 123

Boom Co. v. *Patterson*, 98 U. S. 403 200

Boston Chamber of Comm. v. *Boston*, 217 U. S. 189, 195 200

Bouvier Law Dictionary 66

Bradley v. *Wescott*, 13 Vesey 445 67

Brandt v. *Virginia, etc., Co.*, 93 U. S. 326 67

Brown v. *United States*, 8 Cranch 110 ..... 49, 50, 93, 218

Brown v. *United States*, 113 U. S. 568 111

C

Cammeyer v. *Newton*, 94 U. S. 225 201

Central Trust Co. v. *Garvan*, 254 U. S. 554 114

Chicago, etc., R. R. Co. v. *Minnesota*, 134 U. S. 418 95

Cocks v. *Izard*, 7 Wall. 559 191

Cole v. *La Grange*, 113 U. S. 7 161

Connolly v. *Parsons*, 3 Vesey, 628 191

Conrad v. *Waples*, 96 U. S. 279 49

Cope v. *Cope*, 137 U. S. 682, 688 113

Coquard v. *Chariton Co.*, 14 Fed. 203 110

D

Page

Dakota, etc., Co. v. *So. Dakota*, 250 U. S. 163 51
Davies Co. v. *Dickinson*, 117 U. S. 657 ..... 128, 136
De Barry v. *Lover*, 101 Fed. 425, 427 66
Doe v. *Ladd*, 77 Ala. 223 117
Doon v. *Cummings*, 142 U. S. 366, 376 ..... 128, 136
Downs v. *Rickards*, 4 Del. Chanc. 416 ..... 139–140, 183

E

Ewart v. *Bluejacket*, 259 U. S. 129 ..... 126, 141, 157–158
Ex parte Bennett, 10 Vesey, 393 184
Ex parte Milligan, 4 Wall. 113 ..... 50, 51, 96, 108, 126, 180, 218

F

Fallbrook Dist. v. *Bradley*, 112 U. S. 155 101
Field v. *Clark*, 143 U. S. 649 98–99
Five Per Cent Cases, 110 U. S. 475 197
Floyd Acceptances, 7 Wall. 666 ..... 50, 51, 96, 107, 108, 126, 158, 180
Fowler v. *Colt*, 25 N. J. Eq. 202 190

G

Garvan v. *Commercial Trust Co.*, 275 Fed. 841 ..... 165, 170
Garvan v. *Marconi Wireless Telg. Co.*, 275 Fed. 486 170
Garvan v. *Shares of Stock*, 276 Fed. 206 ..... 94, 96
Green v. *Green*, 23 Wall. 486, 490 194

H

Hahn v. *United States*, 107 U. S. 402 111
Hamilton, etc., v. *Wolf Bros.*, 240 U. S. 251, 259 201
Hamilton's Letters 93
Hanger v. *Abbott*, 6 Wall. 532 93
Hill v. *Shoemaker*, 8 D. C. 305 ..... 187, 197
Hills v. *Iron Works*, 210 Pac. 17 201
Hintze v. *Stingel*, 1 Md. Ch. Dec. 283 191
Hoppes v. *Cheek*, 21 Ark. 585 187
Houston v. *Southwestern, etc., Co.*, 259 U. S. 318 95
Humboldt v. *Long*, 92 U. S. 642 ..... 107, 158
Hume v. *United States*, 132 U. S. 406 119
Hunter v. *United States*, 5 Pet. 173 ..... 107, 158

I

Illinois v. *McFarland*, 110 U. S. 471 66
In re Bauernschmidt's Estate, 97 Md. 35, 51 67
In re Bierbaum, 40 Hun. 504 117
In re Coger, 111 N. Y. 343 67
In re Gregg's Estate, 206 Pa. 189; 252 U. S. 588 91
Insurance Co. v. *Hull*, 51 Ohio State, 270 127
Interstate Commerce Comm. v. *Ill., etc., R. R. Co.*, 215 U. S. 453 51

J

	Page
Jackson v. *Smith*, 254 U. S. 586	140, 183
James v. *Campbell*, 104 U. S. 356	201
Johnson v. *Dorsey*, 7 Gill. 269	191

K

Kahn v. *Garvan*, 263 Fed. 909	91, 169
Kain v. *Gibbony*, 101 U. S. 362	123
Kaukauna, etc., Co. v. *Green Bay, etc., Co.*, 142 U. S. 254	101
Kelly v. *Milan*, 127 U. S. 139, 150	128
Kendall v. *United States*, 12 Pet. 524, 610	180
Kent Commentaries, Sec. 1 et seq	93
Kent Commentaries, Vol. 1, 52	66
Kiplinger v. *Macubbin*, 58 Md. 203	117
Knights Templars Co. v. *Jarman*, 187 U. S. 197, 205	91
Knoxville, etc., v. *Water Co.*, 212 U. S. 1	95

L

Lamar v. *Micoux*, 112 U. S. 452	187, 194
Lewis on Eminent Domain, 2d Ed., Secs. 478, 479	200
Little v. *Barreme*, 2 Cranch, 170	167
Loan Assn. v. *Topeka*, 20 Wall. 655, 665	101-102

M

Magruder v. *Drury*, 235 U. S. 106, 120	140, 183
Marsh v. *Fulton Co.*, 10 Wall. 676, 684	128, 136
Martin v. *Mott*, 12 Wheat. 19, 30	109, 110, 218
Matter of M. E. Society v. *Perry*, 51 Hun. (N. Y.) 104	184
Mayer v. *Garvan*, 278 Fed. 27	91
McCullough v. *McCullough*, 44 N. J. Eq. 313	190
Melick v. *Voorhees*, 24 N. J. Eq. 305	187, 194
Michigan Sugar Co. v. *Auditor General*, 124 Mich. 674; 83 N. W. 625	98
Michoud v. *Girod*, 4 How. 502	139, 140, 183
Miller v. *Ammon*, 145 U. S. 421	127
Miller v. *Kaliwerke, etc.*, 283 Fed. 746	165, 170
Miller v. *United States*, 11 Wall. 268	49, 100
Minnesota Rate Cases, 230 U. S. 352, 434	95
Minnesota Sugar Co. v. *Iverson*, 91 Minn. 30, 97 N. W. 454	98
Mitchell v. *Harmony*, 13 How. 115	51
Monongahela, etc., Co. v. *United States*, 148 U. S. 312, 329	201
Montague & Co. v. *Lowry*, 193 U. S. 38	213
Moore v. *Robbins*, 96 U. S. 530	51, 119
Morice v. *Bishop of Durham*, 10 Vesey 521	123
Morrill v. *Jones*, 106 U. S. 466	51
Morse v. *Royal*, 12 Vesey 372	184
Mortlock v. *Buller*, 10 Vesey 292, 309	191
Mrs. Alexander's Cotton, 2 Wall. 404	49, 93, 100
Murray v. *Charming, Betsy*, 2 Cranch, 64	93

N

	Page
National Harrow Co. v. *Hench et al.*, 83 Fed. 36, 38	213
New York, etc., R. R. Co. v. *Peninsular Exchange*, 240 U. S. 34	113
Nicholls v. *Peak*, 12 N. J. Eq. 69	193
Norton v. *Shelby Co.*, 118 U. S. 425, 451	128

O

Oliver v. *Court*, 8 Price 165	197
Opinions Attorney General, 31 Op. 463	87–90
Ord v. *Noel*, 5 Madd. 440	191
Oxnard Beet Sugar Co. v. *State*, 73 Nebr. 57, 102 N. W. 80	99

P

Partie v. *Thomas et al.*, 11 Fed. 769	117
Penny v. *Cook*, 19 Iowa 538	191
People v. *Township Board of Salem*, 20 Mich. 452; 4 Am. Rep. 400	99
Perry on Trusts (5th Ed.), Sec. 697, p. 326	123
Perry on Trusts, Vol. I, Sec. 207	184
Perry on Trusts (5th Ed.), Vol. II, Sec. 770	187
Perry on Trusts (5th Ed.), Vol. II, Sec. 781	191, 197
Perry on Trusts and Trustees, Vol. I, Sec. 463	190
Pettibone v. *United States*, 148 U. S. 203	209
Pine River, etc., Co. v. *United States*, 186 U. S. 279	158, 216
Plymouth Coal Co. v. *Pennsylvania*, 232 U. S. 531, 546	92
Pomeroy Eq. Juris., Secs. 958, 1077, 1079	183
Pomeroy on Eq. Juris., Vol. III, Sec. 1067	193–194
Prosser v. *Finn*, 208 U. S. 67	126, 141, 154, 155, 172

R

Reagan v. *Farmers, etc., Co.*, 154 U. S. 362	94
Reeside v. *Walker*, 11 How. 271, 289	217
Runkle v. *United States*, 122 U. S. 543, 557	109–110

S

Salisbury v. *Colt*, 27 N. J. Eq. 492	190
San Diego v. *San Diego, etc., Co.*, 44 Calif. 106	184
Security Co. v. *Snow*, 70 Conn. 288, 66 Am. St. Reps. 107	117
Seymour v. *Osborne*, 11 Wall. 538	201
Shenk v. *Phelps*, 6 Ill. App. 112	127
Shippey v. *Eastwood*, 9 Ala. 198	198
Simmons v. *McKinloch*, 98 Ga. 733, 76 S. E. 80	117
Simpson v. *Shepherd*, 230 U. S. 451	200
Sinking Fund Cases, 99 U. S. 700, 718	91
Slater v. *Maxwell*, 6 Wall. 268	191
Smith v. *Ayer*, 101 U. S. 320, 327	191
Smith v. *Bell*, 6 Pet. 68	67

Page

Smyth v. *Ames*, 169 U. S. 466 94
Standard, etc., Co. v. *United States*, 226 U. S. 20, 49 213–214
Stanley v. *Schwalby*, 162 U. S. 255, 272 217
Steele v. *United States*, 113 U. S. 128, 134 ..... 119, 128, 132
Stephen v. *Beall*, 22 Wall. 329, 340 ..... 140, 183
Stevens v. *Austin*, 7 Juris. N. S. 873 198
Stockdale v. *Freeman*, 3 How. 556, 564 113
Stocker v. *Foster*, 178 Mass. 591 67
Stochr v. *Wallace*, 269 Fed. 827; 255 U. S. 239 ..... 49, 91, 96, 114, 165, 170
Story Equity Juris. (14th Ed.) Secs. 1332, 1446 123
Story Eq. Jurisprudence, 14 ch. Vol. 3, Sec. 446 183
Straus* v. *American Pub. Assn., 231 U. S. 222, 234 213
Sugden on Powers, 266 108

T

Taft's "Our Chief Magistrate and his Powers," p. 139 180
Tallent v. *Fitzpatrick*, 253 Mo. 10, 19 ..... 63, 67
Taylor v. *Tabrum*, 6 Sim. 281 191
Techt v. *Hughes*, 229 N. Y. 222 91
Terry v. *Rector*, 79 App. Div. (N. Y.) 527 67
Thatcher v. *Powell*, 6 Wheat. 119 ..... 132, 190–191
Thomsen v. *Cayser*, 243 U. S. 66, 86 214
Tilden v. *Green*, 130 N. Y. 29 123

U

Union Pacific R. R. Co. v. *Durant*, 95 U. S. 576 194
United States Bank v. *Owen*, 2 Pet. 527 126
United States v. *Alexander*, 110 U. S. 325 ..... 107, 158
United States v. *Barlow*, 132 U. S. 271, 280 119
United States v. *Blaxingame*, 116 Fed. 654 99
United States v. *Carlisle*, 5 App. D. C. 138 98
United States v. *Carter*, 217 U. S. 286, 308 ..... 140, 183
United States v. *Chandler, etc., Co.*, 229 U. S. 53, 77 200
United States v. *The Chemical Found., Inc.*, 294 Fed. 300; 5 Fed. (2d) 191 ..... 70–71, 161, 173, 178, 195, 198–199
United States v. *Cohen Grocery Co.*, 255 U. S. 81, 88 ..... 50, 96
United States v. *Delaware, etc., Co.*, 215 U. S. 366, 407 91
United States v. *Eaton*, 144 U. S. 687 99
United States v. *Fletcher*, 148 U. S. 84 110
United States v. *Jonas*, 19 Wall. 598 ..... 106, 107, 126, 132
United States v. *Lee*, 106, U. S. 196, 220 ..... 50, 51, 96, 108, 126, 180, 218
United States v. *Maid*, 116 Fed. 650 99
United States v. *Matthews*, 146 Fed. 306 99
United States v. *McLemore*, 4 How. 286, 288 217
United States v. *Moore*, 95 U. S. 760 111
United States v. *North American Co.*, 253 U. S. 330, 336 217

W

Page

United States v. *Page*, 137 U. S. 673 ---- 110
United States v. *Palmer*, 128 U. S. 262 ---- 201
United States v. *Realty Co.*, 163 U. S. 427 ---- 98
United States v. *San Jacinto, etc., Co.*, 125 U. S. 274, 307 ---- 107, 158
Wadsworth v. *Adams*, 138 U. S. 380 ---- 194
Wardens v. *Church of Redeemer*, 45 Barb. (N. Y.) 356 ---- 128, 184
Ware v. *Hylton*, 3 Dall. 199, 254, 255 ---- 93
Waskey v. *Hammer*, 223 U. S. 85 ---- 126, 141, 155–157, 158, 172
Westinghouse v. *Wagner*, 225 U. S. 604 ---- 201
Wheeler v. *Smith*, 9 How. 55 ---- 123, 201
Whiteside v. *United States*, 93 U. S. 247, 257 ---- 119
Wicker v. *Hume*, 14 Beav. 509 ---- 123
Williams v. *Vreeland* (C. C. A. 3d Ct.), 244, 346, 350 ---- 128
Williamson v. *Berry*, 8 How. 495, 543 ---- 63, 66, 128, 136, 196, 197
Wilson's Addresses to Congress ---- 93
Woodward v. *Jewell*, 40 U. S. 247, 249 ---- 63
Woodward's Estate, 8 Phila. 211 ---- 117
Words and Phrases, Vol. 6, 5105 ---- 63
Wormley v. *Wormley*, 8 Wheat. 421 ---- 139, 140, 183, 187, 194, 197–198

Y

Young v. *Young*, 97 N. C. 132 ---- 117

STATUTES CITED

Act of July 2, 1890 (26 Stat. 209) ---- 212, 263–264
Act of August 27, 1894 (28 Stat. 57) ---- 212
Act of February 12, 1913 (37 Stat. 667) ---- 212
Criminal Code, Sec. 41 ---- 138, 141–142, 149–150, 172–176, 182, 185, 196, 221
Criminal Code, Secs. 109, 114, 115, 123, 124, 226 ---- 141
Judicial Code, Sec. 240(a) ---- 4, 221–222
Judicial Code, Sec. 241 ---- 4
Revised Statutes, Secs. 243, 244, 452, 2078, 3168, 3152, 3339, 3342, 3741, 5498 ---- 141
Trading with the Enemy Act (Act of Oct. 6, 1917, 40 Stat. 411) ---- 8–10, 50–53, 113, 163–171, 222–245
Amendment of March 28, 1918 (40 Stat. 459) ---- 12–13, 53, 55–59, 73–83, 105, 245–248
Amendment of November 4, 1918 (40 Stat. 1020) ---- 15–16, 53–54, 55, 248–250
Amendment of July 1, 1918 (40 Stat. 645) ---- 53
Amendment of September 24, 1918 (40 Stat. 966) ---- 53
Amendment of July 11, 1919 (41 Stat. 35) ---- 54
Amendment of June 5, 1920 (41 Stat. 977) ---- 54
Amendment of February 27, 1921 (41 Stat. 1147) ---- 55
Amendment of December 21, 1921 (42 Stat. 351) ---- 52, 250
Amendment of March 4, 1923 (42 Stat. 1511) ---- 55, 251–263
Constitution of the U. S., Art. I, sec. 8, clause 11 ---- 49